1 JUDGE ROBERT S. LASNIK 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 5 6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR19-159RSL 7 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED **DEFENSE MOTION TO EXTEND** 8 RULE 29, RULE 33, AND RULE 34 v. **DUE DATES** 9 PAIGE THOMPSON, (PROPOSED) 10 Defendant. 11 THE COURT has considered the defendant's unopposed motion to extend the 12 Rule 29(c)(1), Rule 33(b) and Rule 34(b) motions due date, and the facts and 13 circumstances described therein, which are incorporated as findings of fact, and finds 14 that: 15 (a) an extension of the motions due date is adequate for the defense to obtain and 16 review trial transcripts and consult with the defendant whole also taking into 17 consideration an agreed briefing schedule that works for both parties. 18 (b) the motion is unopposed by the Government as represented by Assistant 19 United States Attorneys Andrew Friedman, Jessica Manca and Tania Culbertson. 20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Rule 29, Rule 33 and Rule 34 motion due 21 dates be extended to August 3, 2022, Response due August 24, 2022, and Reply due 22 September 2, 2022. 23 DATED this day of June, 2022. 24 25 ROBERT S. LASNIK 26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED
MOTION TO EXTEND RULE 29, RULE 33
AND RULE 34 MOTIONS DUE DATE
(United States v. Paige Thompson; CR19-159RSL) - 1

FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 700 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1100

Case 2:19-cr-00159-RSL Document 336-1 Filed 06/22/22 Page 2 of 2

| 1 | Presented by, |
|----|--|
| 2 | /s/ Mohammad Ali Hamoudi |
| 3 | MOHAMMAD ALI HAMOUDI /s/ Christopher Sanders |
| 4 | CHRISTOPHER SANDERS |
| 5 | /s/ Nancy Tenney NANCY TENNEY |
| 6 | Assistant Federal Public Defenders |
| 7 | /s/ Brian Klein |
| 8 | BRIAN KLEIN /s/ Melissa Meister |
| 9 | MELISSA MEISTER Waymaker LLP |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Attorneys for Paige Thompson |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |

ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED

AND RULE 34 MOTIONS DUE DATE

MOTION TO EXTEND RULE 29, RULE 33

(United States v. Paige Thompson; CR19-159RSL) - 2